

August 22, 2012,

The Italian Legislature Confirms the Reform of Italian Bankruptcy Law: Highlights on Refinements and Clarifications to the New Rules on Restructurings

On August 3, 2012, the Italian Parliament converted into Law the Law Decree of June 22, 2012 (the “Decree”) containing new rules on restructuring proceedings, aimed at reforming the Italian Bankruptcy system. The general framework and the key principles of the reform set out in the Decree (the “Reform”) have not changed. However, the Legislature has refined certain procedural elements and clarified other key aspects of the Reform, including amendments to the early automatic stay procedure to avoid the filing of petition aimed only at deferring the debtor’s insolvency, new procedures regarding voting on, or challenging the vote on, a plan of reorganization and new rules to further facilitate the granting of interim and bridge financing.

I. New Procedural Rules

A. Early Automatic Stay

As indicated in our previous publication on the topic, a key element of the Reform, which aligns the Italian system to US Chapter 11 proceedings, is the possibility for a debtor filing for a *concordato preventivo* proceeding (and indirectly for a debtor proposing an Article 182-*bis* restructuring agreement) to obtain the automatic stay of individual enforcement and protective actions upon the filing of a petition for *concordato preventivo* and its registration in the companies’ registry. The filing of a *concordato preventivo* proposal (or of an Article 182-*bis* restructuring agreement) and the other required

documents is then deferred to a term set by the bankruptcy court between 60 and 120 days following the petition date, which the court can extend up to 60 additional days for justified reasons.¹

This rule, which provides quicker access to bankruptcy protections and maximizes the debtor's leverage vis-à-vis its creditors by granting an appropriate period of time to prepare and negotiate a plan of reorganization, has been maintained. However, to avoid the filing of specious petitions aimed only at deferring the debtor's insolvency, the Law provides that: (i) together with the petition, the debtor must file its financial statements for the preceding three financial years; (ii) the court must impose on the debtor periodic reporting obligations for the period during which the reorganization plan is being prepared and a breach of such reporting obligations will render the proceeding inadmissible; and (iii) a debtor will not be able to access the early automatic stay procedure if, during the two years prior to such filing, it had already filed for an early automatic stay procedure and, following such filing, its proposed *concordato preventivo* or Article 182-*bis* restructuring agreement had not been ratified by the court.

B. Voting Procedures

The Law contains new rules regarding voting procedures in the context of *concordato preventivo* proceedings. In particular, under the new provisions, creditors who did not cast their vote on the debtor's proposed plan of reorganization will be given a period of 20 days following the closing of the voting procedure to file their dissenting vote. Absent such filing, they will be deemed as creditors voting in favor of the debtor's plan of reorganization.

In addition, creditors will be entitled to modify their vote after the conclusion of the voting procedure if the judicial commissioner supervising the *concordato preventivo* proceeding notifies them that the feasibility conditions of the plan changed after the conclusion of the voting procedure.

C. Oppositions to the Ratification of Approved Reorganization Plans

The Law has modified the provisions of Article 180 of the Italian Bankruptcy Law², pursuant to which, after the approval of the reorganization plan by the required majorities, each creditor belonging to a dissenting class might file an opposition against the ratification of the plan by the court, and the court could approve the plan notwithstanding such opposition if it was satisfied that dissenting creditors would receive under the plan at least as much as they could recover under available alternatives (*i.e.*, in most cases, under a liquidation scenario). Since the previous rule contemplated only the opposition of creditors belonging to a class which voted against the plan, there was uncertainty as to whether dissenting creditors had standing to file an opposition against a plan that contemplated only one class of credits and was approved.

¹ Pursuant to the Law, such terms are reduced to 60 days (extendable by the court up to 60 additional days only) if a petition for the debtor's bankruptcy is pending while the petition for *concordato preventivo* is filed.

² Royal Decree No. 267 of March 16, 1942, as amended.

The new rules clarify that if the plan provides for one class of creditors only, an opposition against the ratification of an approved plan can be filed, notwithstanding the approval of the plan, by creditors representing at least 20% of the total credits admitted to vote.

II. Rules Regarding the Management of the Estate

A. Access to Interim Financing

Access to interim financing has been further facilitated by the Law. Under the new rules, any person³ will be allowed to provide interim financing with super-priority status and will be exempt from criminal liability in case of a subsequent bankruptcy of the debtor under the terms and conditions of Articles 182-*quater* and 217-*bis* of the Italian Bankruptcy Law.

The Law has also modified the provisions of Article 182-*quater* pertaining to shareholder loans by providing that such loans will enjoy the same super-priority status (up to 80% of their value) and the same exemption from criminal liability, provided that such loans are granted by new shareholders who take equity in the debtor in the context of a *concordato preventivo* proceeding or an Article 182-*bis* restructuring agreement.⁴

Finally, and most importantly, the Law has clarified that super-priority financing granted pursuant to Article 182-*quinquies* of the Italian Bankruptcy Law⁵, which is one of the major innovations of the Decree, will be shielded from criminal liability in case of subsequent bankruptcy of the debtor, thus dispelling the uncertainty created by the Decree on the issue and highlighted in our previous publication.

³ Defined to include any person or entity, as opposed to only banks and other institutions registered in the lists provided by Articles 106 and 107 of the Legislative Decree No. 385 of September 1, 1993 (as set forth by the original language of Article 182-*quater*).

⁴ The text of the rule is not entirely clear. Therefore, while this seems, at a first glance, the preferable interpretation, the provision regarding shareholders' loans needs to be clarified to dispel the uncertainty caused by its actual formulation.

⁵ *I.e.*, financing granted by the court with super-priority status upon the filing of a *concordato preventivo* proceeding (or of an Article 182-*bis* restructuring agreement), provided that a third-party independent expert certifies that such financing is instrumental to enhancing the recovery of all creditors.

This memorandum is intended only as a general discussion of these issues. It should not be regarded as legal advice. We would be pleased to provide additional details or advice about specific situations if desired.

If you wish to receive more information on the topics covered in this publication, you may contact your regular Shearman & Sterling contact person or any of the following:

United States

Douglas P. Bartner
New York
+1.212.848.8190
dbartner@shearman.com

Fredric Sosnick
New York
+1.212.848.8571
fsosnick@shearman.com

Andrew V. Tenzer
New York
+1.212.848.7799
atenzer@shearman.com

Azam H. Aziz
New York
+1.212.848.8154
aziz@shearman.com

Geoffrey B. Goldman
New York
+1.212.848.4867
geoffrey.goldman@shearman.com

Donna M. Parisi
New York
+1.212.848.7367
dparisi@shearman.com

United Kingdom

Clifford Atkins
London
+44.20.7655.5957
catkins@shearman.com

Patrick Clancy
London
+44.20.7655.5878
patrick.clancy@shearman.com

Ian Harvey-Samuel
London
+44.20.7655.5000
ian.harvey-samuel@shearman.com

Caroline Leeds Ruby
London
+44.20.7655.5944
cledsruby@shearman.com

Mei Lian
London
+44.20.7655.5963
mlian@shearman.com

Solomon J. Noh
London
+44.20.7655.5795
solomon.noh@shearman.com

Anthony J. Ward
London
+44.20.7655.5000
award@shearman.com

Germany

Winfried M. Carli
Munich
+49.89.23888.2607
wcarli@shearman.com

Esther Jansen
Frankfurt
+49.69.9711.1621
ejansen@shearman.com

Rainer Wilke
Düsseldorf
+49.211.17888.717
Frankfurt
+49.69.9711.1000
wilke@shearman.com

France

Pierre-Nicolas Ferrand
Paris
+33.1.53.89.71.77
pferrand@shearman.com

Italy

Tobia Croff
Milan
+39.02.0064.1509
tobia.croff@shearman.com

Domenico Fanuele
Rome
+39.06.697.679.210
Milan
+39.02.0064.1510
dfanuele@shearman.com

Fabio Fauceglia
Milan
+39.02.0064.1508
Rome
+39.06.697.679.208
fabio.fauceglia@shearman.com

Giovanni Battista Bruno
Rome
+39.06.697.679.218
giovanni.bruno@shearman.com

United Arab Emirates

Iain Goalen
Abu Dhabi
+971.2.627.4477
London
+44.20.7655.5975
igoalen@shearman.com