LITIGATION CLIENT PUBLICATION

April 1, 2014

A Potential Fork in the Road: US and EU Sanctions Relating to the Ukrainian Crisis

If you wish to receive more information on the topics covered in this publication, you may contact your regular Shearman & Sterling contact person or any of the following:

Contacts

Philip Urofsky Washington, DC +1.202.508.8060 philip.urofsky@shearman.com

Danforth Newcomb New York +1.212.848.4184 dnewcomb@shearman.com

Stephen Fishbein New York +1.212.848.4424 sfishbein@shearman.com

Paula Howell Anderson New York +1.212.848.7727 paula.anderson@shearman.com

Barnabas W.B. Reynolds London +44.20.7655.5528 barney.reynolds@shearman.com Both the United States and the European Union have issued calibrated Ukraine-related sanctions as a direct response to the recent political upheaval in Ukraine. Although both US and EU sanctions target certain persons for escalating the Ukrainian crisis (see Annex A), there is a budding rift in the international sanctions community. Specifically, the economic, structural and legal limitations of the EU make it unlikely that the EU will match US sanctions (already authorized but not issued) that directly target the Russian economy.

What You Need to Know

- Although the initial US and EU sanctions targeting the Russian Federation appear to have been in close lock-step, a closer review of who has been designated under each regime reveals a different story. There are a total of 64 persons designated in the two regimes, but only 18 persons (less than 29%) are designated under both regimes. Indeed, 13 members of President Vladimir Putin's inner circle have been designated only under US sanctions.
- If the Ukrainian crisis persists, there may be additional divergence due in large part to: (i) the EU's unanimous vote requirement to impose any sanction; (ii) the degree that the EU and Russian economies are intertwined — the Russia Federation conducts 14 times more business with the EU than it does with the US; and (iii) the EU's Iranian designations having been successfully challenged in member states' judicial systems.
- US authorities have taken a carrot and stick approach to sanctioning persons under the Ukrainian Orders. On the one hand, prior guidance and recent releases from US authorities have made it clear that the 50% rule is being strictly interpreted, i.e., if a specially designated national and blocked person (SDN) holds more than 50% of any

Shearman.com

entity, then that entity would automatically be blocked as well. But in the absence of a clear 50% ownership interest, the entity is not likely to be blocked. On the other hand, US Executive Order 13662 (dated March 20, 2014) authorizes (and thus threatens) sanctions on entire sectors of the Russian economy, including financial services, energy, metals and mining, engineering, and defense and related materiel. Thus, US authorities are indicating that they will not block an entity not clearly owned by an SDN (i.e., the carrot), but they may impose sanctions on any person (individual or entity) they desire based on their political calculations (i.e., the stick). Based on this approach, we would expect any future US sanctions to be targeted towards the specific interests of President Putin, his cronies, or specific sectors of the Russian economy likely to impact either of those interests.

Overview of Ukraine-Related US Economic Sanctions

In a span of two weeks, President Obama issued three executive orders (13660, 13661, and 13662, the "Ukrainian Orders," each an "EO") targeting Russian Federation officials, the inner circle that supports them, Bank Rossiya, and potentially certain sectors of the Russian economy. The first order authorizes the imposition of sanctions on persons who threaten the peace, sovereignty, and territorial integrity of Ukraine, as well as persons deemed to have materially assisted, sponsored, or provided support, goods, or services in support of such activity or entities owned or controlled by persons designated under this order. The second order also authorizes the imposition of sanctions on any person determined to (i) be a Russian Federation official, (ii) operate in the Russian arms or related materiel sector, or (iii) be owned or controlled by a person designated under either EO 13660 or EO 13661. The third order significantly expands the scope of the prior two orders by authorizing the blocking of property of persons who operate in certain sectors of the Russian economy, including financial services, energy, metals and mining, engineering, and defense and related materiel.

At present, OFAC has designated 31 individuals and one entity, Bank Rossiya. Under these executive orders, the individuals have been designated because they are either officials of the Russian government or close advisors to senior Russian government officials. According to a recent press statement issued by the US Department of the Treasury, many were designated for their public display of support for Russian President Vladimir Putin's use of the Russian Armed Forces in Ukraine. Bank Rossiya was targeted because it is the personal bank for senior officials of the Russian Federation. Its shareholders include members of President Putin's inner circle associated with the Ozero Dacha Cooperative, and the bank has numerous correspondent relationships with banks in the United States, Europe, and across the globe.

Overview of Ukraine-Related EU Economic Sanctions

The EU sanctions primarily consist of asset freezes and travel bans of individuals deemed to have taken actions that undermine or threaten the territorial integrity, sovereignty and independence of Ukraine. The EU has designated 51 individuals (see Annex A) under these sanctions. These individuals are primarily senior political officials in the Russian Federation, senior political officials in the Crimean peninsula, or senior military personnel in Russia.

The EU, however, has yet to sanction any entity relating to the Ukrainian crisis. Still, media reports have indicated that the EU will be taking a closer look at issuing broader so-called "Phase 3" sanctions that would involve restrictions on energy, trade, and financial relations with Russia, if Russia were to move further into eastern Ukraine.

A Comparison of the Two Regimes' Approach to the Ukrainian Crisis

As referenced above and apparent from the table in Annex A, the United States and the European Union have taken two slightly different approaches to their respective sanctions targeting Russia. Most notably, although there is some significant overlap on the respective SDN lists, the US sanctions have targeted a number of persons with strong ties directly to President Putin, either because they were appointed by him, are longtime friends or business acquaintances of his, or have some other close nexus to the president of the Russian Federation, i.e., the US sanctions target Putin's cronies. In contrast, the EU sanctions list includes a number of senior military personnel in Crimea and Russia and a broader swath of senior political officials in both Crimea and Russia but does not target as many members of President Putin's inner circle.

The US Approach

US economic sanctions operate as an extension of US foreign policy goals. The U.S. Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) often imposes sanctions to force foreign entities to adhere to the international commitments, treaties, and agreements that they have previously signed. Traditionally, OFAC has used a targeted approach to imposing US economic sanctions based on various political calculations made to bring about a certain desired result. Although all the information and factors are not known, two dominant factors are the threat to US national security and US foreign interests, including the extent of US dependency on trade relations with the home jurisdiction of any potential SDN. Based on the persons initially designated as SDNs under the Ukrainian Orders, it appears that the Obama Administration is seeking to apply as much pressure to the personal interests of President Putin as possible without taking the extraordinary measures of either directly (i) sanctioning him, or (ii) disrupting the Russian economy.

To this end, OFAC has stated that property blocked pursuant to any executive order or regulations administered by OFAC is broadly defined to include any property, tangible or intangible, or any interest therein, including present, future, or contingent interests. A property interest subject to blocking includes interests of any nature whatsoever, direct or indirect. With that said, a person whose property and interests in property are blocked pursuant to an executive order (i.e., a blocked person) is considered to have an interest in all property and interests in property of an entity in which it owns, directly or indirectly, a 50% or greater interest, i.e., the 50% rule. The property and interests in property of such an entity are blocked regardless of whether the entity itself is listed in the annex of an executive order or otherwise placed on OFAC's SDN List. Under the 50% rule as applied to the Ukrainian Orders, US persons are prohibited from doing any business with any entity in which Bank Rossiya has a 50% or greater interest (unless specifically authorized by OFAC), whether or not the entity itself has been designated. Thus, by targeting cronies of President Putin, the 50% rule used by OFAC effectively applies additional pressures on the interests (personal, business, and political) of President Putin.

More importantly, EO 13662 has authorized OFAC to sanction any person determined to operate in certain sectors of the Russian Federation, including financial services, energy, metals and mining, engineering, and defense and related materiel. This thinly veiled threat puts all persons engaged in these various sectors of the Russian economy on notice (while intentionally not making any designations) that they could be exposed to US sanctions. Thus, the Obama administration has already escalated its sanctions program to its next phase.

The EU Approach

Unlike the US, the EU must consider the interests, stability, and political agenda of all 28 of its member states before it can pass a decision under the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP). Furthermore, such decision may only be passed with a unanimous vote. With so many disparate nations whose interests must be calculated, including many post-

Soviet states or countries deeply tied to specific sectors of the Russian economy, the EU has the difficult task of balancing potentially competing interests to protect any disproportionate impact of any sanctions it seeks to impose.

The EU must also consider its delicate trading dependency on and with Russia. Indeed, according to Eurostat, Russia's top trading partner in 2012 was the EU, which accounted for €267.5 billion in trade. Its next highest trading partner was China, which accounted for €64.1 billion. The US was only Russia's fifth largest trading partner accounting for €18.9 billion (just over 7% of Russia's trade with the EU). Moreover, the EU's dependence on Russian oil is well documented. As of 2012, the EU imported 76.3% of its mineral fuels from Russia.

Nevertheless, the EU has indicated that it will employ a four-step plan to prompt Russia to revoke its annexation of Crimea. The first two steps have generally consisted of asset freezes and travel bans. The initial targets of the EU sanctions were principally senior officials in Crimea and Russia and senior personnel in the Russian military. The second round of EU sanctions ratcheted up the pressure on the Russian Federation by targeting individuals within President Putin's inner circle, his top aides, as well as a TV anchor known for his provocative views.

However, the recent EU sanctions have also increased some tensions within the 28 member states as the EU seeks to continue imposing sanctions on Russia. Indeed, according to at least one media source, some member states expressed reservations on the latest names put forward based on fear of Russian retaliation. Thus, the additional steps in the EU four-step approach to the Ukrainian crisis, which include possible sanctions targeting Russia's financial sector, its energy industry, trade, and its arms business will likely be a difficult (if not impossible) road for the EU to safely navigate without directly damaging the stability of some of its member states.

What's On Deck?

Possible Further Actions that Could be Taken

We expect that as the Ukrainian crisis continues to unfold, both the US and EU authorities will make a concerted effort to coordinate their actions, but the degree of coordination will likely be hampered by the diverging interests between the US and EU. For example, both regimes may continue to designate former Ukrainian or current Russian officials, as well as persons in various sectors of the Russian economy. And, both US and EU authorities may ultimately begin undertaking enforcement actions against companies in their respective jurisdictions that violate the prohibitions set forth in the Ukrainian Orders.

But as the crisis continues, it is not clear whether both regimes will impose escalation sanctions to the same degree, such as direct import or export bans, restricting Russian banks and corporations from accessing EU or US financial institutions, or targeting specific firms within the Russian Federation, e.g., the state-owned energy giant Gazprom would probably be a likely target of US regulators.

Possible US Legislation

There are at least three congressional bills pending that seek to address the Ukrainian crisis. HR 4154 (the Russia Visa Sanctions Act) would deny visas and entry into the United States to Russian Federation officials and employees until the Russian military intervention into Ukraine has ceased and the Russian Federation respects the sovereignty, independence, and territorial integrity of Ukraine. H.R. 4278 (the Ukraine Support Act) and S. 2124 (the Support for the Sovereignty, Integrity, Democracy, and Economic Stability of Ukraine Act of 2013) are substantially similar in that both seek to provide support and stability to the democratic processes and economy in Ukraine while ensuring its media outlets remain open and accurate. Both of these bills would also seek to lock in the present Ukraine-related sanctions with respect to persons designated under the Ukrainian Orders until congress receives a written report from the President that

certain thresholds have been met and thus the sanctions are no longer necessary. However, the Ukraine Support Act would give President Obama 30 days to develop a plan to fully implement the Iran, North Korea, and Syria Nonproliferation Act, which would allow the President to impose sanctions against Russian companies for supporting Syria and Iran.

Although none of these bills have made it out of their respective houses, members of Congress have publicly stated a strong desire for Congress to act swiftly to address the Ukrainian crisis.

Further Russian Retaliation

On Thursday, March 20, 2014, the Russian Federation announced it had imposed sanctions against several advisers to President Obama and several members of Congress, including Speaker of the House John Boehner and Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid. The sanctions ban Boehner and Reid, as well as Sens. Mary Landrieu, Daniel Coats, Robert Menendez, John McCain and Obama advisers Caroline Atkinson, Daniel Pfeiffer and Benjamin Rhodes from entering Russia. Although President Putin recently announced that he would refrain from imposing further sanctions on the US or the EU, there is no guarantee that Russia will not economically retaliate in the future if additional US or EU sanctions are implemented against Russia.

Key Provisions of the Recent Executive Orders Issued by President Obama

Executive Order 13660 — Blocking Property of Certain Persons Contributing to the Situation in Ukraine (March 6, 2014) EO 13660 was issued based on a finding that persons who have asserted governmental authority in the Crimean region without authorization from the Government of Ukraine threaten the democratic process, peace, security, stability, and sovereignty in the region. As such, these persons pose an extraordinary threat to US national security. Accordingly, EO 13660 requires the blocking (i.e., blocked property cannot be transferred, paid, exported, withdrawn, or otherwise dealt in) of all property and interests in property — in the United States, that comes into the United States, or comes into the possession or control of a US person ("US Property") — of certain persons determined by the US government to have:

- Undermined the democratic processes of Ukraine;
- Threatened the peace, security, stability, or sovereignty of Ukraine;
- Misappropriated the assets of Ukraine or an economically significant Ukrainian entity;
- Asserted governmental authority without authorization over any part of Ukraine;
- Led an entity that has (or whose members have) done any of the above;
- Provided material assistance or support for any of the above or a person blocked under this order; or
- Been (or be) owned or controlled (or acting on behalf of) any person blocked pursuant to this order.

These prohibitions generally include the making of any contributions or provision of funds, goods, or services by, to, or for the benefit of and from any blocked person.

Executive Order 13661 — Blocking Property of Additional Persons Contributing to the Situation in Ukraine (March 17, 2014) EO 13661 expands the scope of EO 13660 based on a finding that the actions and policies of the Government of the Russian Federation¹ has directly undermined the democratic process, stability, and sovereignty of Ukraine. Specifically, EO 13661 designates seven individuals as blocked persons, generally targets the Russian government, and notes that the following persons may be subsequently designated as a blocked person:

- An official of the Government of the Russian Federation;
- A person that operates in the arms or related materiel sector in Russia;
- A person that owns or is controlled by a senior Russian official or person blocked pursuant to this order;
- A person who materially assists, sponsors, or provides material support, goods, or services to a senior Russian
 official or a person blocked pursuant to this order.

In addition, EO 13661 authorizes the Secretary of the US Treasury to lift the sanctions applied to persons designated in Annex A of this order.

Executive Order 13662 — Blocking Property of Additional Persons Contributing to the Situation in Ukraine (March 20, 2014) This most recent order continues to ratchet up the pressure on the Government of the Russian Federation for its purported annexation of Crimea and its use of force in Ukraine. This order authorizes the blocking of all US Property of persons determined to have:

- Operated in certain sectors of the Russian Federation, including financial services, energy, metals and mining, engineering, and defense and related materiel;
- Materially assisted, sponsored or provided financial, material, or technological support goods, or services to any person whose US Property is blocked pursuant to this order; or
- Been (or be) owned or controlled by or acted on behalf of any person whose US Property is blocked pursuant to this
 order.

Key Provisions of the Ukraine-Related EU Economic Sanctions

Council Regulation (EU) No 208/2014 of 5 March 2014 ("Regulation 208")

Regulation 208 provides for the freezing of funds and economic resources of certain persons identified as responsible for the misappropriation of Ukrainian state funds and persons responsible for human rights violations in Ukraine. It was issued in response to the conflict between the Ukrainian government and the opposition leaders in late February 2014.

There are two prohibitions regarding persons listed in Annex I of the Regulation:

 All funds and economic resources belonging to, owned, held or controlled by any natural or legal person, entity or body shall be frozen; and

¹ Government of the Russian Federation means the Government of the Russian Federation, any political subdivision, agency, or instrumentality thereof, including the Central Bank of the Government of the Russian Federation, and any person owned or controlled by, or acting for or on behalf of, the Government of the Russian Federation

• No funds or economic resources shall be made available directly or indirectly, to, or for the benefit of, natural or legal persons, entities or bodies listed in the annex.

Applications for a license can be made in certain situations including:

- Where funds or economic resources concerned are necessary to satisfy the basic needs of persons, entities or bodies listed in Annex I;
- Where funds are subject to an arbitral or judicial decisions on certain conditions; and
- Where funds are required for a payment by the listed person on an obligation that is due under a contract that was concluded before such person was listed.

It is an offence to circumvent the prohibitions stated above, i.e., to participate, knowingly and intentionally, in activities the object or effect of which is to circumvent the prohibitions.

Finally, actions by persons, entities or bodies shall not give rise to any liability on their part if they did not know, and had no reasonable cause to suspect, that their actions would infringe the prohibitions set out in the Regulation.

Council Regulation (EU) No 269/2014 of 17 March 2014 ("Regulation 269")

Regulation 269 has the same key provisions as Regulation 208, however these prohibitions are directed at those individuals responsible for actions which undermine or threaten the territorial integrity, sovereignty and independence of Ukraine (i.e., by Russia). The list of 21 individuals has been incorporated into Annex A below.

Council Regulation (EU) No 284/2014 of 21 March 2014 ("Regulation 284")

Regulation 284 adds a further 12 individuals to the designated list as those targeted by the prohibitions under Regulation 269.

* * * * *

As always, please feel free to call any of the partners listed above or your regular Shearman & Sterling contact if you would like to discuss the recent US or EU sanctions relating to the Ukrainian crisis, implications or those sanctions, or economic sanctions compliance in general.

SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

Combined List of Persons Sanctioned by US & EU Relating to Ukrainian Crisis (as of April 1, 2014)

Name of Designated Person	Title of Person / Individual	Sanctions Regime	
		US	EU
Aksyonov, Sergey Valeryevich	Prime Minister of Crimea.	United States (Ukraine)	EU (3.17.2014)
Azarov, Mykola Yanovych	Prime Minister of Ukraine until January 2014		EU (3.6.2014)
Azarov, Oleksii Mykolayovych	Son of former Prime Minister Azarov		EU (3.6.2014)
Bank Rossiya	The personal bank for senior officials of the Russian Federation, its shareholders include members of Putin's inner circle associated with the Ozero Dacha Cooperative, a housing community in which they live	United States (Ukraine2)	
Berezovskiy, Deniz Valentinovich	Commander of Ukraine Navy since March 1, 2014		EU (3.17.2014)
Bohatyriova, Raisa Vasylivna	Former Minister of Health		EU (3.6.2014)
Bushmin, Evgeni Viktorovich	Deputy Speaker of the Federation Council of the Russian Federation; Chairman of the Council of the Federation Budget and Financial Markets Committee	United States (Ukraine2)	EU (3.17.2014)
Chaliy, Aleksei Mikhailovich	Mayor of Sevestopol since February 23, 2014		EU (3.17.2014)
Dzhabarov, Vladimir Michailovich	First Deputy Chairman of the International Affairs Committee of the Federation Council of the Russian Federation	United States (Ukraine2)	EU (3.17.2014)
Fursenko, Andrei Alexandrovich	Aide to the President of the Russian Federation	United States (Ukraine2)	
Galkin, Aleksandr	Commander, Russia Southern Military District		EU (3.17.2014)
Glazyev, Sergey	Presidential Advisor	United States (Ukraine2)	EU (3.21.2014)
Gromov, Alexei	First Deputy Chief of Staff of the Presidential Executive Office; First Deputy Head of Presidential Administration; First Deputy Presidential Chief of Staff	United States (Ukraine2)	
vanov, Sergei	Chief of Staff of the Presidential Executive Office	United States (Ukraine2)	
vanov, Victor Petrovich	Director of the Federal Drug Control Service of the Russian Federation —Ivanov is a close ally of Putin and served alongside Putin as the chief of staff of the St. Petersburg Mayor's office in 1994 when Putin was first deputy head of the city's administration	United States (Ukraine2)	
Kalinin, Ihor Oleksandrovych	Former Advisor to the President of Ukraine		EU (3.6.2014)

Shearman & Sterling LLP

Name of Designated Person	Title of Person / Individual	Sanctions Regime	
		US	EU
Kiselyov, Dimitry Konstantinovich	Head of the Russian Federal State News Agency "Rossiya Segodnya"		EU (3.21.2014)
Klishas, Andrei Aleksandrovich	Chairman of the Russian Federation Council Committee on Constitutional Law, Judicial and Legal Affairs and the Development of Civil Society	United States (Ukraine2)	EU (3.17.2014)
Kliuiev, Andrii Petrovych	Former Head of Administration of President of Ukraine		EU (3.6.2014)
Kliuiev, Serhii Petrovych	Businessman, brother of Mr. Andrii Kliuiev		EU (3.6.2014)
Kohzin, Vladimir Igorevich	Head of Administration, Head of the Presidential Affairs Office, Head of Presidential Business Management Directorate of the Russian Federation — appointed by Putin in January 2000	United States (Ukraine2)	
Konstantinov, Vladimir Andreyevich	Speaker, Supreme Council of the Autonomous Republic of Crimea	United States (Ukraine)	EU (3.17.2014)
Kovalchuk, Yuri Valentinovich	Putin Crony — The largest single shareholder of Bank Rossiya and is also the personal banker for senior officials of the Russian Federation including Putin — has been referred to as one of his cashiers	United States (Ukraine2)	
Kulikov, Valery Vladimorvich	Rear Admiral, Deputy Commander of the Black Sea Fleet		EU (3.21.2014)
Kurchenko, Serhiy Vitaliyovych	Businessman		EU (3.6.2014)
ukash, Olena Leonidivna	Former Minister of Justice		EU (3.6.2014)
Malyshev, Mikhail	Chair of the Crimea Electoral Commission		EU (3.21.2014)
Matviyenko, Valentina Ivanovna	Federation Council Speaker; Chairman of the Russian Federation Council	United States (Ukraine2)	EU (3.21.2014)
Medvedchuk, Viktor	Not Stated	United States (Ukraine)	
Medvedev, Valentina Ivanova	Chair of Sevastopol Electoral Commission		EU (3.21.2014)
Mironov, Sergei Mikhailovich	Member of the Council of the State Duma; Leader of a Just Russia Party; Member of the State Duma Committee on Housing Policy and Housing and Communal Services	United States (Ukraine2)	EU (3.17.2014)
Mizulina, Yelena	State Duma Deputy; Chairman of the State Duma Committee on Family, Women and Children	United States (Ukraine2)	EU (3.21.2014)
Naryshkin, Sergey Yevgenyevich	Speaker of the State Duma	United States (Ukraine 2)	EU (3.21.2014)
Nosatov, Alexander Mihailovich	Rear Admiral, Deputy Commander of the Black Sea Fleet	-	EU (3.21.2014)
Ozerov, Viktor Alekseevich	Chairman of the Security and Defense Federation Council of the Russian Federation	United States (Ukraine2)	EU (3.17.2014)

Shearman & Sterling LLP

Name of Designated Person		Sanctions Regime	
	Title of Person / Individual	US	EU
Panteleev, Oleg Evgenevich	First Deputy Chairman of the Committee on Parliamentary Issues	United States (Ukraine2)	EU (3.17.2014)
Portnov, Andriy Volodymyrovych	Former Adviser to the President of Ukraine		EU (3.6.2014)
Pshonka, Arten Viktorovych	Son of former Prosecutor General, Deputy Head of the faction of party of Regions in the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine		EU (3.6.2014)
Pshonka, Viktor Pavlovych	Former Prosecutor General of Ukraine		EU (3.6.2014)
Ratushniak, Viktor Ivanovych	Former Deputy Minister of Internal Affairs		EU (3.6.2014)
Rogozin, Dmitry Olegovich	Deputy Prime Minister of the Russian Federation	United States (Ukraine2)	EU (3.21.2014)
Ryzhkov, Nikolai Ivanovich	Member, Committee for Federal Issues, Regional Politics and the North, Federation Council		EU (3.17.2014)
Rotenberg, Arkady & Boris	Putin Cronies — The Rotenberg brothers received approximately \$7 billion in contracts for the Sochi Olympic Games and their personal wealth has increased by \$2.5 billion in the last two years. They also provided support to Putin's pet projects by receiving and executing high priced contracts for the Sochi Olympic Games and state-controlled Gazprom. They have made billions of dollars in contracts for Gazprom awarded to them by Putin.	United States (Ukraine2)	
Ryzhkov, Nikolai Ivanovich	Senator in the Russian Upper House of Parliament; Member of the Committee for Federal Issues, Regional Politics and the North of the Federation Council of the Russian Federation	United States (Ukraine2)	
Sergun, Igor Dmitrievich	Lieutenant General; Chief of the Main Directorate of the General Staff; Deputy Chief of the General Remarks: Staff	United States (Ukraine2)	
Sidorov, Anatoliy Alekseevich	Commander, Russia's Western Military District		EU (3.17.2014)
Slutsky, Leonid	State Duma Deputy; Chairman of the Committee on Affairs of the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS); First Deputy Chairman of the Committee on International Affairs / Chairman of the Russian World Fund Administration	United States (Ukraine2)	EU (3.17.2014)
Surkov, Vladislav Yurievich	Aide to the President of the Russian Federation	United States (Ukraine2)	EU (3.21.2014)
Tabachnyk, Dmytro Volodymyrovych	Former Minister of Education and Science	·	EU (3.6.2014)
Temirgaliev, Rustam Ilmirovich	Deputy Chairman, Council of Ministers of Crimea		EU (3.17.2014)
Timchenko, Gennady	Putin Crony—Co-founder of Gunvor, one of the world's largest independent commodity trading companies involved in the oil and energy markets — Timchenko's activities in the energy sector have been directly linked to Putin, and Putin is believed to have investments in Gunvor	United States (Ukraine2)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Shearman & Sterling LLP

Name of Designated Person		Sanctions Regime	
	Title of Person / Individual	US	EU
Totoonov, Aleksandr Borisovich	Member of the Committee on Culture, Science, and Information, Federation Council of the Russian Federation	United States (Ukraine 2)	EU (3.17.2014)
Tsekov, Sergey Pavlovych	Vice-Speaker of the Verkhovna Rada		EU (3.17.2014)
Turchenyuk, Igor	Commander of Russian Forces in Crimea		EU (3.21.2014)
Vitko, Aleksandr Viktorovich	Vice Admiral, Commander of the Russian Black Sea Fleet		EU (3.17.2014)
Yakunin, Vladimir	Appointed Chairman of the Board of the Russian state- owned company Russian Railways	United States (Ukraine2)	
Yakymenko, Oleksandr Hrhorovych	Former Head of Security Service of Ukraine		EU (3.6.2014)
Yanukovych, Oleksandr Victorovych	Son of former President, businessman		EU (3.6.2014)
Yanukovych, Viktor Fedorovych	Former President of Ukraine	United States (Ukraine2)	EU (3.6.2014)
Yanukovych, Viktor Victorovych	Son of former President, Member of the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine		EU (3.6.2014)
Zakharchenko, Vitalii Yuriyovych	Former Minister of Internal Affairs		EU (3.6.2014)
Zheleznyak, Sergei Vladimirovich	Deputy Speaker of the State Duma of the Russian Federation	United States (Ukraine2)	EU (3.17.2014)
Zherebtsov, Yuriy	Counselor of the Speaker of the Verkhovna Rada of Crimea		EU (3.17.2014)
Zima, Pyotr Anatoliyovych	Head of the Crimean Security Service (SBU)		EU (3.17.2014)

^{**} Names in italics above have been designated under both US and EU sanctions regimes.

ABU DHABI | BEIJING | BRUSSELS | FRANKFURT | HONG KONG | LONDON | MILAN | NEW YORK | PALO ALTO PARIS | ROME | SAN FRANCISCO | SÃO PAULO | SHANGHAI | SINGAPORE | TOKYO | TORONTO | WASHINGTON, DC

This memorandum is intended only as a general discussion of these issues. It should not be regarded as legal advice. We would be pleased to provide additional details or advice about specific situations if desired.

599 LEXINGTON AVENUE | NEW YORK | NY | 10022-6069

Copyright © 2014 Shearman & Sterling LLP. Shearman & Sterling LLP is a limited liability partnership organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with an affiliated limited liability partnership organized for the practice of law in the United Kingdom and Italy and an affiliated partnership organized for the practice of law in Hong Kong.