

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

ADAMI, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

- against -

THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA,

Defendant-Appellee.

16-675-cv

TRINITY INVESTMENTS LIMITED,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

- against -

THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA,

Defendant-Appellee.

16-677-cv

16-687-cv

15 Civ. 1588 (TPG)¹

15 Civ. 5886 (TPG)

**THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA'S REPLY IN
FURTHER SUPPORT OF ITS EMERGENCY MOTION
FOR EXPEDITED APPEAL AND CONSOLIDATION**

¹ For cases in which a Notice of Appeal has been filed but no docket number has been assigned yet by this Court, the docket numbers from the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York are listed.

MCHA HOLDINGS, LLC,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

- against -

THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA,

Defendant-Appellee.

16-681-cv

16-682-cv

16-683-cv

16-686-cv

CLARIDAE, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

- against -

THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA,

Defendant-Appellee.

14 Civ. 10201 (TPG)

ARAG-A LIMITED, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

- against -

THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA,

Defendant-Appellee.

16-674-cv

ATTESTOR MASTER VALUE FUND LP,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

- against -

THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA,

Defendant-Appellee.

16-684-cv

STONEHILL INSTITUTIONAL PARTNERS,
L.P., *et al.*,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

- against -

THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA,

Defendant-Appellee.

15 Civ. 4284 (TPG)

WHITE HAWTHORNE, LLC,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

- against -

THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA,

Defendant-Appellee.

16-688-cv

BYBROOK CAPITAL MASTER FUND LP,
et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

- against -

THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA,

Defendant-Appellee.

16-690-cv

16-691-cv

**THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA’S REPLY IN
FURTHER SUPPORT OF ITS EMERGENCY MOTION
FOR EXPEDITED APPEAL AND CONSOLIDATION**

In response to plaintiffs-appellants’ opposition to the Republic’s motion for expedited appeal and consolidation, the Republic incorporates the arguments set forth in its Reply in Further Support of its Emergency Motion for Expedited Appeal and Consolidation (the “Reply”), filed in the appeal captioned *Aurelius Capital Master, Ltd. v. Republic of Argentina*, No. 16-628-cv (Doc. 22) (the “Lead Appeal”). The Republic respectfully submits that the schedule proposed by the plaintiffs in the above-captioned appeals, which is even longer than the schedule proposed by the plaintiffs in Appeal No. 16-628, should be rejected for all of the reasons stated in the Reply.

In accordance with this Court’s Order dated February 24, 2016, in the appeal captioned *Aurelius Opportunities Fund II, LLC, et al. v. Republic of Argentina*, No. 15-1060-cv(L), the above-captioned appeals should be consolidated

with the Lead Appeal, and this Court should order the Republic's proposed schedule in all consolidated appeals.

March 7, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP,

By

/s/ Michael A. Paskin

Daniel Slifkin

Michael A. Paskin

Damaris Hernández

Members of the Firm

Worldwide Plaza

825 Eighth Avenue

New York, NY 10019

(212) 474-1000

mpaskin@cravath.com

*Attorneys for Defendant-Appellee
The Republic of Argentina*